

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

OSCAR BENJAMIN LOYA,

Case No. 3:22-cv-00309-LRH-CLB

Petitioner,

v.

**ORDER**

TIM GARRETT, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Following the Notice of Appearance (ECF Nos. 23, 24) by the Federal Public Defender on behalf of Petitioner Oscar Benjamin Loya, it is hereby ordered:

1. The Federal Public Defender, through Shelly Richter, Esq. and Jonathan Kirshbaum, Esq., is appointed as counsel for Petitioner pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B). Counsel will represent Petitioner in all federal proceedings related to this matter, including any appeals or certiorari proceedings, unless allowed to withdraw.

2. Petitioner will have until January 2, 2024, to file an amended petition and/or seek other appropriate relief. This deadline and any extension thereof may not be construed as implied findings regarding the federal limitation period or a basis for tolling. Petitioner at all times remains responsible for calculating the running of the federal limitation period and timely asserting claims, without regard to any court-ordered deadlines or extensions. Thus, a petition or amended petition filed within a court-ordered deadline may still be dismissed as untimely if it violates the statute of limitations. *See Sossa v. Diaz*, 729 F.3d 1225, 1235 (9th Cir. 2013).

3. Respondents must file a response to the amended petition, including potentially by motion to dismiss, within 60 days of service of an amended petition. Petitioner may file a reply within 30 days of service of the answer. However, Local Rule LR 7-2(b) governs the response and reply time to any motion filed by either party, including motions filed in lieu of a pleading.

4. Any procedural defenses Respondents raise to the counseled amended petition must be raised together in a single, consolidated motion to dismiss. Successive motions to dismiss will

1 not be entertained, and any procedural defenses omitted from the consolidated motion to dismiss  
2 will be waived. Respondents may not file a response that consolidates their procedural defenses,  
3 if any, with their response on the merits. But arguments that an unexhausted claim clearly lacks  
4 merit may be included a procedural-defense response. If Respondents seek dismissal of  
5 unexhausted claims under 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) they must: (1) do so in a single motion to  
6 dismiss, not in the answer; and (2) specifically direct their argument to the standard for dismissal  
7 under § 2254(b)(2) as set forth in *Cassett v. Stewart*, 406 F.3d 614, 623–24 (9th Cir. 2005). In  
8 short, no procedural defenses, including exhaustion, may be included with the merits in an answer.  
9 All procedural defenses, including exhaustion, must be raised in a single motion to dismiss.

10 5. In any answer filed on the merits, Respondents must specifically cite to and address  
11 the applicable state court written decision and state court record materials, if any, regarding each  
12 claim within the response as to that claim.

13 6. Respondents must file the state court exhibits relevant to their response in  
14 chronological order.

15 7. All state court records and related exhibits must be filed in accordance with LR IA  
16 10-3 and LR IC 2-2 and include a separate index identifying each exhibit by number or letter. The  
17 index must be filed in CM/ECF's document upload screen as the base document to receive the  
18 base docket number (*e.g.*, ECF No. 10). Each exhibit must then be filed as "attachments" to the  
19 base document to receive a sequenced sub-docket number (*e.g.*, Exhibit A (ECF No. 10-1), Exhibit  
20 B (ECF No. 10-2), Exhibit C (ECF No. 10-3), and so forth). If the exhibits will span more than  
21 one filing, the base document in each successive filing must be either a copy of the index or volume  
22 cover page. *See* LR IC 2-2(a)(3)(A).

23 8. Notwithstanding LR IC 2-2(g), paper copies of any electronically filed exhibits  
24 need not be provided to chambers or to the staff attorney, unless later directed by the court.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 DATED this 4<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2023.

27   
28 LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE